

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	Andrew John Cardno	Examiner:	NUNEZ, Jordany
Application No.:	10/728,857	Group Art Unit:	2175
Filing Date:	December 8, 2003	Confirmation No.	1227
Office Action Date:	June 11, 2009	Docket No.	83336.1710
Title:	DATA ANALYSIS SYSTEM AND METHOD	Customer No.	66880

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

This amendment is filed in response to the Office action mailed June 11, 2009, and is timely filed.

INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-14 were pending in the present application. Claims 1-5 and 8-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Hughes et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,920,216) (“Hughes”). Claims 6, 7, 13, and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes in view of Wong (U.S. Patent No. 6,343,275).

Claims 1 and 8 have been amended in this paper. Claims 6, 7, 13 and 14 have been canceled in this paper. Therefore, claims 1-5 and 8-12 are now pending in the present application. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the rejected claims.